

Brian S. Mudge



Partner

bmudge@andrewskurthkenyon.com

Washington, DC

1350 I Street, NW, Suite 1100, Washington, DC 20005

P: +1.202.662.3026 | F: +1.202.662.2739

BIOGRAPHY

Brian assists clients with a broad variety of intellectual property litigation, acquisition and counseling matters concerning patents, trademarks, copyrights and trade secrets. He has provided opinions regarding the scope and validity of patents and trademarks, has defended against claims of infringement of intellectual property rights, and has assisted clients in evaluating, acquiring and enforcing patent portfolios. He has prosecuted patent applications and has handled patent post-grant proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, including inter partes review and reexamination cases. He has counseled clients in trademark selection, assisted clients in protecting works of authorship and assisted in the protection and licensing of proprietary technology.

Brian has experience in a wide variety of business and technology sectors, including internet and information technology, e-commerce, computer hardware and software, telecommunications, image and signal processing, Internet advertising, financial services, interactive entertainment, chemically-sensitive semiconductors and DNA sequencing hardware and software. His experience also includes entertainment law issues involving motion picture, music and publicity rights and new uses.

Prior to becoming a lawyer, Brian worked for RCA Corporation, where he was involved in research and development of image processing technology and commercial broadcast television products.

REPRESENTATIVE EXPERIENCE

- Hewlett-Packard Co. in which he prepared two successful petitions for post-grant review of covered business method patents; these petitions formed the basis for a successful summary judgment motion in parallel district court litigation.
- j2 Cloud Services and Advanced Messaging Technologies in which he successfully defended against two petitions for inter partes review of a patent.
- AT&T in which he successfully defended client against trademark infringement claims by winning a ruling on summary judgment that "you have mail" is generic. *America Online v. AT&T* (E.D.V.A.).
- D. E. Shaw & Co. in which he successfully obtained injunction against foreign hedge fund's use of infringing fund name. *D. E. Shaw & Co. v. Razor Investment Management* (S.D.N.Y.).
- Electronic Arts in which he defended against allegations of trade secret misappropriation. *Delphine Software Int'l, SARL v. Electronic Arts* (S.D.N.Y.).

INDUSTRIES

Internet/E-Commerce
Software/Electrical
Technology
Technology and
Emerging Companies

PRACTICES

Copyright Litigation
Copyrights
Intellectual Property and
Technology
IP Counseling and
Licensing
Patent Litigation
Patent Prosecution
Patent Trial and Appeal
Board Proceedings
Patents
Securing and
Maintaining Trademarks
and Brand Names
Trade Secret/Trade
Dress Litigation
Trade Secrets/Trade
Dress
Trademark Proceedings
and Litigation
Trademarks

EDUCATION

JD, 1991, *cum laude*,
University of
Pennsylvania Law
School, Order of the
Coif, Moot Court Board
MS, 1984, Systems
Engineering, University
of Pennsylvania
BS, 1979, *magna cum
laude*, Electrical
Engineering, University
of Pennsylvania, Tau
Beta Pi, Eta Kappa Nu,
Hexagon Senior Society

Brian S. Mudge

- Home Shopping Network, Ingenious Designs and Joy Mangano in which he successfully defended against allegations of patent infringement. *Pearson v. Mangano* (W.D. Tenn.).
- Disney in which he successfully defended against summary judgment while obtaining a finding that the motion picture "Fantasia" was a work for hire and not a work of joint authorship. *Philadelphia Orchestra Assn. v. Walt Disney Co.* (E.D. Pa.).
- Sightsound in which he helped a patent owner successfully enforce its patents covering music downloading. *Sightsound.com v. N2K* (W.D. Pa.).
- BNP Paribas and CooperNeff Advisors in which he helped overturn a TRO and defeat a preliminary injunction motion in a case involving copyrights and trade secrets. *Zimmer v. CooperNeff Advisors* (E.D. Pa.).

INSIGHTS

- U.S. Supreme Court to Decide Whether AIA Patent Reviews are Constitutional (June 13, 2017)
- "SCOTUS to Decide: Is PTAB Required to Determine Patentability of All Claims Challenged in an IPR Petition?" *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (May 25, 2017)
- U.S. Supreme Court to Decide Whether PTAB is Required to Determine Patentability of All Claims Challenged in an IPR Petition (May 23, 2017)
- Opinions of Counsel Post-Halo: Lessons From 16 Cases *Law360* (May 22, 2017)
- "PTAB Nixes Reliance on Technical Report: Not Publication," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (May 18, 2017)
- Opinions of Counsel Once Again Key to Avoiding Enhanced Damages (April 27, 2017)
- "Federal Circuit Lets CBM-Narrowing Decision Stand," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (April 26, 2017)
- "PTAB, District Courts Diverge on Scope of Estoppel," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (April 5, 2017)
- "Patent Owner's Challenge to Wayback Machine Evidence Fails," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (February 2, 2017)
- "CAFC Rejects Broad Eligibility For CBM Review, Adds to Program Uncertainty," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (January 4, 2017)
- "Federal Circuit Declines Review of PTAB Assignor Estoppel Ruling," *IP Litigator* (November/December 2016)
- "PTAB Rules Dealer Show Catalog Fails to Qualify as Prior Art," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (November 18, 2016)
- "Federal Circuit Declines Review of PTAB Assignor Estoppel Ruling," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (October 4, 2016)
- "CAFC Rejects Strict Requirement for Motions to Amend," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (September 15, 2016)
- "USPTO's Powers Emerge Intact from Supreme Court Row," *Intellectual Property Magazine* (September 2016)
- "PTAB Is Inconsistent On Qualifications For CBM Review," *Law360* (August 10, 2016)
- "Tension in the PTAB: How to Determine Whether a Patent Claims a Covered Business Method?" *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (August 8, 2016)

ADMISSIONS

District of Columbia
Pennsylvania
New Jersey
US Patent and Trademark Office
US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
US Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit
US District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
US District Court for the District of New Jersey

Brian S. Mudge

- "CGH Wins Rare Grant of Motion to Amend," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (July 25, 2016)
- "Patent Trial Program Emerges Intact From Supreme Court Row," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (July 11, 2016)
- "Board Issues Mixed Rulings on Patent Eligibility After Enfish," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (June 14, 2016)
- "Federal Circuit: Estoppel Does Not Apply to Non-Instituted Grounds," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (May 31, 2016)
- "PTAB Grants Rare Claim Amendment in an IPR," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (May 25, 2016)
- "Federal Circuit Rules on Burden to Establish Patentability of Amended Claims," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (March 22, 2016)
- "Federal Circuit Approves PTAB Trial Approach," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (February 18, 2016)
- "Supreme Court to Hear Review of AIA Trial," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (January 19, 2016)
- "Board Continues Development of Estoppel Caselaw," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (November 30, 2015)
- "PTAB Ruling Breaks Ranks With Supreme Court and Federal Circuit," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (August 27, 2015)
- "PTAB Issues Second Ruling on Scope of Estoppel After Final Decision," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (June 1, 2015)
- "PTAB Rules on Scope of Estoppel Following Final Decision," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (April 24, 2015)
- "Federal Circuit Affirms PTAB in First Appeal After Final AIA Decision," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (February 5, 2015)
- "Some Business Method Patents are Ineligible for CBM Review," *Law360* (August 4, 2014)
- "Not So Fast! PTAB Rules Patent Not Covered Business Method," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (June 13, 2014)
- "New Covered Business Method Program Is Smashing Success For Patent Challengers," *Intellectual Property Today* (June 2014)
- "Patent Challengers Succeed With New Covered Business Method Program," *Andrews Kurth Kenyon IPR Blog* (April 10, 2014)
- "Covered Business Method Patents: One Year On," *Intellectual Property Magazine* (December 2013/January 2014)
- "Expanding Defenses To Inducing Infringement," *Intellectual Property Strategist* (December 2013)
- "*Commil USA v. Cisco*: Expanding Defenses to Inducing Infringement," *IP Strategist* (December 20, 2013)
- "A Madness to the Method," *The Intellectual Property Strategist* (August 2, 2010)
- "Bilski: 'Machine or Transformation' is not Exclusive Test for Patentable Processes," *International Law Office* (July 26, 2010)
- "Bilski: 'Machine or Transformation' not Exclusive Test for Patentable Processes," *Intellectual Asset Management* (July 21, 2010)
- "*MGM v. Grokster*: Questions Remain," *International Law Office* (October 10, 2005)
- "*Eolas Technologies v. Microsoft* – A Premium Royalty Base," *Patent Strategy & Management* (September 2004)
- "Getting Patently Offensive," *CNET* (January 21, 2004)
- "Is It Actually Diluted Yet?" *Legal Times / IP* (July 21, 2003)
- "Personalized Medicine on the Horizon," *New York Law Journal* (February 3, 2003)
- "Importance of Contracts for Employee Inventions," *International Legal Strategy* (November 15, 2002)
- "Prosecuting Patents Under the Scrutiny of Festo's Rebuttable Presumption," *Intellectual Property Today* (September 2002)
- "The Future of Online Music and Copyright Law," *International Legal Strategy* (October 15, 2001)
- "PANEL TWO: Which Legal Rules Control?: Evaluating Arguments," *American University Law Review* (November 16, 2000)
- "Napster Must Die! TNE Online Interview: Brian Mudge," *The Net Economy* (November 16, 2000)
- "Copyright's Not Wrong," *Legal Times / IP* (October 16, 2000)

Brian S. Mudge

- "Trademark Practice in a Dynamic Economy: More Deals, More Laws, More Resources than Ever for the Trademark Practitioner," *Fordham Intellectual Property Media & Entertainment Law Journal* (December 1, 1999)
- "The Future of Electronic Commerce Law: Proposed Changes to the Uniform Commercial Code," *IEEE Communications Magazine* (February 1998)
- "The World Wide Web as a New Medium: From Links to Infringement," *IEEE Communications Magazine* (November 1997)

BRIEFINGS, SEMINARS & SPEECHES

- Panelist/Presenter, "Evidence of Prior Art at the PTAB: Rigorous Proof — or Else," Intellectual Property Owners Association's IP Chat Channel (January 19, 2017)

AFFILIATIONS

- American Bar Association
- American Intellectual Property Law Association

IN THE NEWS

- Quoted in "AIA Review Denials Don't Always Free Patent Owners," *Law360* (April 9, 2015)